# Resonant behavior and unpredictability in forced chaotic scattering

Alexandre R. Nieto,<sup>1,\*</sup> Jesús M. Seoane,<sup>1</sup> J. E. Alvarellos,<sup>2</sup> and Miguel A. F. Sanjuán<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Nonlinear Dynamics, Chaos and Complex Systems Group, Departamento de Física, Universidad Rey Juan Carlos, Tulipán s/n, 28933 Móstoles, Madrid, Spain

<sup>2</sup>Departamento de Física Fundamental, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Nacional de Educación a Distancia, Paseo Senda del Rey 9, 28040, Madrid, Spain

<sup>3</sup>Institute for Physical Science and Technology, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742, USA

(Received 28 May 2018; revised manuscript received 9 October 2018; published 10 December 2018)

Chaotic scattering in open Hamiltonian systems is a topic of fundamental interest in physics, which has been mainly studied in the purely conservative case. However, the effect of weak perturbations in this kind of system has been an important focus of interest in the past decade. In a previous work, the authors studied the effects of a periodic forcing in the decay law of the survival probability, and they characterized the global properties of escape dynamics. In the present paper, we add two important issues in the effects of periodic forcing: the fractal dimension of the set of singularities in the scattering function and the unpredictability of the exit basins, which is estimated by using the concept of basin entropy. Both the fractal dimension and the basin entropy exhibit a resonant-like decrease as the forcing frequency increases. We provide a theoretical reasoning which could justify this decreasing in the fractality near the main resonant frequency that appears for  $\omega \approx 1$ . We attribute the decrease in the basin entropy to the reduction of the area occupied by the Kolmogorov-Arnold-Moser (KAM) islands and the basin boundaries when the frequency is close to the resonance. On the other hand, the decay rate of the exponential decay law shows a minimum value of the amplitude,  $A_c$ , which reflects the complete destruction of the KAM islands in the resonance. Finally, we have found the existence of Wada basins for a wide range of values of the frequency and the forcing amplitude. We expect that this work could be potentially useful in research fields related to chaotic Hamiltonian pumps and oscillations in chemical reactions and companion galaxies, among others.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.98.062206

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Chaotic scattering in open Hamiltonian systems has been an interesting topic of research in nonlinear dynamics and chaos theory due to its numerous applications in several fields of physics, such as celestial mechanics, fluid mechanics, and atomic and nuclear physics (see Ref. [1] for an exhaustive description of the applications of chaotic scattering).

In a generic situation of chaotic scattering, the particles enter a finite region where they experience some sort of transient chaotic dynamics due to the interaction with a potential, and then leave the region. In this sense, the phenomenon could be understood as a manifestation of transient chaos [2,3]. Far enough from the scattering region, the action of the potential is negligible so the particle motion is essentially free. A usual tool in chaotic scattering is the scattering function, which represents the relation between characteristic variables of the input and the output of the scattering region. When the scattering is nonchaotic, the scattering function will be formed by smooth curves, which leads to a high capacity to predict the behavior of one of the variables from the other. In chaotic scattering problems, the scattering function has a set of singularities as a result of the sensitive dependence to the initial conditions, which constitutes a hallmark of chaos.

If we go into the neighborhood of one of the singularities, then we will conclude that the range of variation of the output variable does not tend to zero as the size of the neighborhood is arbitrarily reduced.

Chaotic scattering processes have been studied in several physical systems such as hard-disk systems [4] and billiard systems [5,6], although much of the literature refers to open Hamiltonian systems (e.g., Refs. [7–9]), where the Hénon-Heiles Hamiltonian constitutes a well-known paradigm (e.g., Refs. [10–13]). The Hamiltonian is given by

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2}(\dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2) + \frac{1}{2}(x^2 + y^2) + x^2y - \frac{1}{3}y^3.$$
(1)

This system is conservative and hence the energy is conserved. Furthermore, it has a critical value of the energy  $E_e = 1/6$  such that for lower values, i.e.,  $E \leq E_e = 1/6$ , the isopotential curves are closed. For larger values,  $E > E_e = 1/6$ , the isopotential curves are open and the particles can escape from the scattering region and go to infinity through three different *exits* [see Fig. 1(b)].

Much work has been done in the past decade concerning the effect of different perturbations such as noise, dissipation, and periodic forcing [14–20] in the escape dynamics of this system under the assumptions of Newtonian dynamics. Recently, the Hénon-Heiles Hamiltonian has been also studied in the relativistic case [21,22]. The evolution of the fractal dimension of the scattering function has been studied in the presence of dissipation, noise, and relativistic corrections but

2470-0045/2018/98(6)/062206(11)

<sup>\*</sup>alexandre.rodriguez@urjc.es



FIG. 1. (a) Potential energy V(x, y) associated to the the Hénon-Heiles system. (b) The isopotential curves for different values of the energy  $E \in [0.08, 1.5]$ . The curves are closed for energies below the threshold value  $E_e = 1/6$ , and they exhibit three symmetrical exits, separated by an angle of  $2\pi/3$  radians, when the energy  $E > E_e$ .

not in the presence of forcing. This is one of the main motivations for which we have decided to analyze the periodically forced Hénon-Heiles system.

In the context of celestial mechanics, in which the Hénon-Heiles system arises, periodic forcing could be used for modeling the effect of companion galaxies [23], such as the Magellanic Clouds orbiting the Milky Way. On the other hand, the classical mechanics of a system of two nonlinearly coupled oscillators driven by an oscillating electric field is relevant in the multiphoton dissociation [24]. In this case, the energy of the system can be exchanged in a multiply periodic manner between the system and the forcing field. The periodic forcing is also relevant in chaotic Hamiltonian pumps [25]. Here the phase of the driving forces provide some indications of the existence of directed currents over wide ranges of the incoming energy of the system. Another interesting physical application is the dynamics of particles placed in a spatially periodic potential under the influence of a periodic field [26]. Here the forcing is relevant in the study of the mean escape times from the scattering region with the subsequent generation of a transient flow of an ensemble of particles.

Because of the chaotic dynamics, particles with slightly different initial conditions can describe completely different trajectories and escape through different exits. Therefore, chaotic scattering implies some sort of unpredictability, understanding it as the difficulty of predicting the exit through which a trajectory will escape. In a Hamiltonian system, since the total energy is conserved, we cannot speak about attractors, and thus, we cannot define basins of attraction [27,28]. However, in an open Hamiltonian system, we can define exit basins [29] in an analogous way to the basins of attraction in dissipative systems. We say that the exit basin associated with the exit *i* is the set of initial conditions whose trajectories will escape through the exit *i*. In the case of the Hénon-Heiles system, there exist three exit basins and, in the nonhyperbolic regime, a set of initial conditions for the particles that will never escape from the scattering region. The exit basins of the unperturbed Hénon-Heiles system verify the Wada property [10], providing important consequences on the predictability of the system. Here we show that this property remains in the forced case. To quantify the unpredictability of the exit basins, we use the basin entropy as a useful tool to analyze the

exit basins associated with a large set of parameters (in our particular case the forcing amplitude and frequency), which is another issue we add in this paper.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we describe our model, the periodically forced Hénon-Heiles system. The effects of forcing in the fractal dimension of the scattering function are carried out in Sec. III. In that section we also provide a theoretical reasoning that could justify the obtained results. The qualitative effects of the forcing term on the basin topology and the existence of Wada basins are shown in Sec. IV. In Sec. V, we evaluate the unpredictability of the exit basins using the concept of basin entropy. Finally, in Sec. VI, we present the main conclusions of this manuscript.

### **II. MODEL DESCRIPTION**

The Hénon-Heiles system appeared in literature for the first time in 1964 in an article by the astronomers Michel Hénon and Carl Heiles [30]. Both researchers worked in the search for a third integral of motion in galactic systems. The equations of motion are given by

$$\dot{x} = p, \quad \dot{p} = -x - 2xy$$
  
 $\dot{y} = q, \quad \dot{q} = -y - x^2 + y^2,$  (2)

where p and q denote the two components of the generalized momentum.

We focus our attention in the effects of the periodic forcing on the chaotic scattering. In this context, the periodic forcing is introduced in a natural way as follows [31]:

$$\dot{p} = -x - 2xy + A_x \sin \omega_x t,$$
  

$$\dot{q} = -y - x^2 + y^2 + A_y \sin \omega_y t,$$
(3)

where we take, for simplicity and without loss of generality, the same amplitudes  $(A_x = A_y = A)$  and frequencies  $(\omega_x = \omega_y = \omega)$ . One of the physical motivations to introduce the forcing in this kind of systems is the study of spiral galaxies in astronomy and astrophysics in which the forcing is a natural ingredient as shown in Ref. [23].

To intuitively visualize the system, we plot the potential and the isopotential curves for different values of the energy in Fig. 1.

For energies  $E > E_e$  the trajectories may come from infinity or from inside the scattering region, and after interacting with the potential, they escape through one of the exits. One of the properties of the Hénon-Heiles system is the existence of three highly unstable periodic orbits known as Lyapunov orbits [32], each one located in the vicinity of one of the exits. When a trajectory passes through a Lyapunov orbit with its velocity vector pointing outwards of the scattering region, it will escape to infinity and will never come back.

The addition of a periodic forcing term can change the dynamics of the system in a drastic way [15]. In Fig. 2, we show four trajectories in the presence of forcing of amplitude A = 0.01 with different frequencies. Figures 2(a)-2(c) show three trajectories escaping through different exits after passing through the scattering region. In Fig. 2(d) we show a quasiperiodic orbit. All the trajectories have been launched from the same initial point  $(x_0, y_0) = (0.0, 0.65)$  with the same energy E = 0.19. In this figure we can see how the



FIG. 2. Trajectories in the forced Hénon-Heiles system with energy E = 0.19 and A = 0.01. Panels (a)–(c) show three trajectories escaping through different exists due to the effects of the periodic forcing term of frequencies  $\omega = 0.01$ ,  $\omega = 0.1$ , and  $\omega = 1.0$ , respectively. Panel (d) shows a quasiperiodic orbit for the frequency  $\omega = 10.0$ . In all the trajectories the initial condition is  $(x_0, y_0) = (0.0, 0.65)$ . This initial condition is associated with a KAM island in the conservative case. We can clearly observe the role of the frequency, which can change drastically the destination of the particle.

frequency of a forcing term with a small amplitude can modify the exit through which the particle escapes.

Even for values  $E > E_e$  there exist initial conditions that generate trajectories that will remain forever within the scattering region. These trajectories are typically quasiperiodic. Quasiperiodic orbits are trajectories that periodically return to a finite region of the phase space but never close on themselves, which belong to a Kolmogorov-Arnold-Moser (KAM) island [33]. The existence of these islands is one of the main characteristics of nonhyperbolic chaotic scattering, and as a consequence the decay law of the survival probability is algebraic. When the scattering is hyperbolic, the stable and unstable manifolds of the chaotic saddle are never tangent and every saddle point is hyperbolic [34]. In addition there are no KAM islands mixed with the chaotic saddle and, consequently, the decay law becomes exponential. We are particularly interested among other things in the dynamics of the system associated with KAM islands. This justifies that we have considered the nonhyperbolic regime, which is manifested for energies in the approximate range  $E \in [E_e, 0.23]$ [15], while the hyperbolic regime is associated to values of  $E \gtrsim 0.23.$ 

#### **III. FRACTAL DIMENSION**

The scattering function presents fractal geometry, which implies some sort of unpredictability when relating the input and output variables in the scattering region. We can quantify this unpredictability through the computation of the fractal dimension of the set of singularities of the scattering function. Previous researchers [35] conjectured, providing numerical



FIG. 3. Algebraic scaling between  $f(\delta)/\delta$  and  $\delta$  for E = 0.17. (a) For the conservative case. (b) Forced case with amplitude A = 0.05 and frequency  $\omega = 1$ . The fractal dimension is estimated to be D = 0.99 in the conservative case and D = 0.72 in the forced case. The base of the log is 10.

evidence, that in nonhyperbolic chaotic scattering the set of singularities of the scattering function has Lebesgue measure zero and its fractal dimension is always D = 1. This value implies that the difficulty to determine the output variable from the input variable is maximal. The value D = 1 is justified based on the algebraic decay law of the survival probability.

To perform the calculation of the fractal dimension, we use the uncertainty algorithm given in Refs. [36,37]. In particular, we launch the trajectories from the line segment defined by the points (x, y) = (0, -0.5) and (x, y) = (0, 0). In order to fix the value of  $\dot{y}$  we use the tangential shooting method [10], in which the trajectories are launched towards the scattering region in such a way that the velocity vector is tangent to a circumference centered at the origin and passing through the point  $(x_0, y_0)$ . For a given initial condition  $y_0$  we choose another initial condition  $y_0 + \delta$ , where  $\delta$  is some small perturbation. For a fixed value of the uncertainty  $\delta$ , we compute the escape time (T) of both trajectories, and we say that the initial condition is uncertain if  $|T(y_0) - T(y_0 + \delta)| > h$ , where h is the integration step of the numerical method (a fourth-order Runge-Kutta method in our case).

The fraction of uncertain initial conditions obeys the law [35]:

$$f(\delta) \sim \delta^{1-D}.$$
 (4)

Taking logarithms in the above equation we obtain

$$\log \frac{f(\delta)}{\delta} = -D\log \delta + k,\tag{5}$$

where k is a constant. This equation allows us to obtain the fractal dimension D computationally from the slope of the line that must yield a representation  $\log [f(\delta)/\delta]$  versus  $\log \delta$ .

In all the simulations of this section we have set h = 0.005and we have taken 21 values of  $\delta$  from  $10^{-9}$  to  $10^{-5}$ . In Fig. 3 we represent the results for both the conservative case and forced case with amplitude A = 0.05 and a frequency  $\omega = 1$ . In this case, in order to obtain the fraction of uncertain initial conditions, we have taken 50 000 initial conditions for each  $\delta$ . In both cases we observe a strict linear relation between the variables. The fractal dimension is estimated to be D = 0.99in the conservative case and D = 0.72 in the forced case.

First, we want to clarify the effect of the frequency and the amplitude on the fractal dimension. We have obtained the



FIG. 4. Color-code map of the fractal dimension for several values of the forcing frequency and amplitude in the periodically forced Hénon-Heiles system with E = 0.17. We have used  $250 \times 250$  equally spaced values of the parameters. The hot colors indicate larger values of the fractal dimension. It can be observed that, for any nonzero amplitude, the fractal dimension exhibits a resonant-like evolution, where  $\omega \approx 1$  and  $\omega \approx 2$  are the main frequencies.

fractal dimension for  $250 \times 250$  values of  $A \in [0, 0.05]$  and  $\omega \in [0, 5]$  for E = 0.17. In each case the fraction of uncertain initial conditions was obtained by throwing 50 000 initial conditions selected by sweeping along the segment defined by the points (x, y) = (0, -0.5) and (x, y) = (0, 0). A good way to represent these results is using a color-code map in the  $(\omega, A)$  plane. The results are shown in Fig. 4. The hot colors indicate larger values of the fractal dimension. In the figure we observe a resonant-like behavior where the critical values for the frequency are  $\omega \approx 1$  and  $\omega \approx 2$ . For values close to  $\omega = 1$  the fractal dimension drastically decreases and does it again, less abruptly, when  $\omega \approx 2$ . When the second resonant frequency is exceeded, the fractal dimension returns monotonously to  $D \approx 1$ . The decrease occurs for the same value of  $\omega$  regardless of the amplitude, although the decrease will be greater for higher amplitude values.

In order to generalize the previous results for any energy within the nonhyperbolic regime, we have set A = 0.05 and computed the fractal dimension for different values of the frequency and the energy. Specifically, we have taken  $250 \times 250$  combinations of energy  $E \in [0.17, 0.20]$  and frequency  $\omega \in [0, 5]$ . We have again constructed a color-code map, which is represented in Fig. 5. From this figure it follows that the evolution of the fractal dimension with the forcing frequency is qualitatively the same within the nonhyperbolic regime. In the same way as in Fig. 4, the minimum of the fractal dimension associated with the resonant frequencies can be clearly observed.

Now we provide a theoretical reasoning that could justify the effects of the forcing near the resonance, using the fractal dimension of Cantor-like structures as a parallelism. In systems where chaotic scattering occurs, particles are launched from a line segment straddling the stable manifold of the chaotic saddle. There is a certain interval of the input variable which leads to trajectories that remain in the scattering region



FIG. 5. Color-code map of the fractal dimension for several values of the forcing frequency and energy in the periodically forced Hénon-Heiles system with A = 0.05. We have used  $250 \times 250$  equally spaced values of the parameters. The hot colors indicate larger values of the fractal dimension. It can be observed that, for any energy within the nonhyperbolic regime, the fractal dimension exhibits a resonant-like evolution, where  $\omega \approx 1$  and  $\omega \approx 2$  are the main frequencies.

for at least a time  $T_0$ . By a time  $2T_0$  a fraction  $\eta$  of the remaining particles leave the scatterer. If these particles are located in the middle of the original interval, then we are left with two equal-length subintervals of the input variable that lead to trajectories that do not escape for, at least, a duration of time  $2T_0$ . By a time  $3T_0$  an additional fraction  $\eta$  of the particles remaining at time  $2T_0$  leave the scatterer. We assume that these particles were located in the middle of the first two subintervals. If we continue this iterative proceeding, then we obtain a Cantor-like set of Lebesgue measure zero with associated fractal dimension, D, given by

$$D = \frac{\ln 2}{\ln [2/(1-\eta)]}.$$
 (6)

On the other hand, for high amplitudes, near the resonant frequency, say,  $\omega \in [0.8, 1.2]$ , KAM islands are destroyed and then the decay law becomes exponential. In this case the decay rate is related to the fraction  $\eta$  remaining at each stage of the construction of the Cantor-like set by

$$\gamma(\omega) = \frac{1}{T_0} \ln (1 - \eta)^{-1}$$
 (7)

According to Eqs. (6) and (7) we find a relation between the fractal dimension and the decay rate

$$D = \frac{\ln 2}{\ln 2 + T_0 \gamma(\omega)}.$$
 (8)

If we increase  $\omega$ , approaching the resonant frequency, then the amount of particles remaining at each time is reduced and then we expect  $d\gamma/d\omega > 0$ . On the other hand, once the resonant frequency is reached, if we increase  $\omega$ , then we expect  $d\gamma/d\omega < 0$ . According to Eq. (8), since  $\gamma(\omega) > 0$ ,  $d\gamma/d\omega >$ 0 implies  $dD/d\omega < 0$ . In the same way  $d\gamma/d\omega < 0$  leads to  $dD/d\omega > 0$ . This theoretical reasoning is in good agreement



FIG. 6. Fractal dimension of the scattering function for E = 0.17 and different values of amplitude A = 0.01 [blue (dark gray)], A = 0.03 [red (gray)], and A = 0.05 [yellow (light gray)]. For each frequency, to calculate the fraction of uncertain initial conditions, 50 000 initial conditions  $\theta_0 \in [0, 2\pi]$  have been launched from the point ( $x_0, y_0$ ) = (0.15, -0.25).

with the numerical results showed in Figs. 4 and 5. In order to observe clearly the change in sign of  $dD/d\omega$  in Fig. 6, we plot the computed fractal dimension of the scattering function versus the forcing frequency for E = 0.17 and different values of the amplitude. To perform the dimension calculation we launched, for each frequency, 50 000 initial conditions  $\theta_0 \in$  $[0, 2\pi]$  from the point  $(x_0, y_0) = (0.15, -0.25)$ . In this figure, we clearly observe the decrease of the fractal dimension near the frequencies  $\omega \approx 1$  and  $\omega \approx 2$ . The resonant-like behavior occurs for the three amplitudes considered, being the decrease of the fractal dimension greater for larger amplitudes.

#### **IV. BASIN TOPOLOGY**

To obtain the exit basins, we choose a uniform grid of  $500 \times 500$  initial conditions in the plotted region. We plot

each initial condition with a different color depending on the exit through which the trajectory escapes. We have selected the initial conditions in the physical space (x, y) using the tangential shooting method. In Fig. 7 we represent two exit basins in the conservative case with different values of the energy. In the basin obtained for E = 0.17 we can observe well-defined regions where the particles will never escape (nonhyperbolic regime), but the same does not occur for E = 0.25 (hyperbolic regime).

The destruction of the KAM islands can be observed intuitively in the exit basins. For two different energies in the nonhyperbolic regime (E = 0.17 and E = 0.19) we have obtained the exit basins with resolution  $500 \times 500$  for a forcing amplitude A = 0.05 and 51 frequencies in the range  $\omega \in [0, 5]$ . The only frequencies that lead to the destruction of the KAM islands are  $\omega = 0.9$  and  $\omega = 1.0$ . In Fig. 8 we plot the exit basins for  $\omega = 0.0$  and  $\omega = 1.0$ .

A natural question at this point is: What is the critical value of the forcing amplitude,  $A_c$ , that allows the destruction of the KAM islands in the resonance? We have obtained its value for 14 values of the energy in the range  $E \in [0.17, 0.2]$  for  $\omega = 0.9$ . The value obtained is  $A_c = 0.015$  in all cases.

In order to show the decrease of the area occupied by the KAM islands in Fig. 9, we represent the fraction of the area of the exit basins occupied by the KAM islands,  $f_{\text{KAM}}$ , in function of both the forcing frequency (for A = 0.025) and the forcing amplitude (for  $\omega = 0.9$ ). In both cases, the energy is 0.19. We can observe in Fig. 9(a) the complete destruction of the KAM islands when the forcing frequency is close to the resonance  $\omega \approx 1$ . For high values of the frequency, the area of the KAM islands returns to the value of the conservative case ( $f_{\text{KAM}} \approx 4\%$ ). In Fig. 9(b) we can visualize the critical amplitude value ( $A_c \approx 0.015$ ) that allows the destruction of the KAM islands near the resonance.

In nonhyperbolic chaotic scattering the particle decay law is algebraic and there exist KAM islands mixed with the chaotic saddle in the phase space. But if the amplitude is large enough, a forcing close to the resonance frequency  $\omega = 1$ destroys the KAM islands. Therefore all the particles will escape in a finite time and the decay law becomes exponential,

$$R(t) \sim e^{-\gamma t},\tag{9}$$



FIG. 7. Exit basins in the physical space (x, y) for the conservative Hénon-Heiles system for the energy values indicated in each figure. The color code is as follows: green (light gray), blue (dark gray), and red (gray) correspond to the initial conditions that lead to exits 1, 2, and 3, respectively, and white corresponds to bounded orbits that never escape.



FIG. 8. Exit basins in the physical space (x, y) for the forced Hénon-Heiles system when the forcing amplitude is A = 0.05. The energy value is E = 0.17 (upper) and E = 0.19 (lower) and the frequency value is indicated in each figure. The color code is as described in the caption to Fig. 7.

where R(t) is the fraction of particles that survive at time *t* and  $\gamma \ge 0$  is the decay rate.

For values  $\omega \approx 1$  the decay rate is approximately constant within the nonhyperbolic regime. For 31 values of the energy in the range  $E \in [0.17, 0.2]$  we have obtained (with A = 0.05)  $\gamma = (3.318 \pm 0.033) \times 10^{-2}$  for  $\omega = 1.0$  and  $\gamma =$  $(5.648 \pm 0.097) \times 10^{-2}$  for  $\omega = 0.9$ . We have considered an error  $\varepsilon_{\gamma} = 3\sigma(\gamma)/\sqrt{n}$ , where n = 31 is the number of samples and  $\sigma$  is the standard deviation. To obtain the decay rate we have used  $10^6$  initial conditions, using an equally spaced  $1000 \times 1000$  grid in the physical space (x, y). For each initial condition we compute the escape time and determine the fraction that remains in steps  $\Delta t = 20$ . Finally, we represent  $\ln R$  versus t and we obtain the decay rate from the slope of the straight line. For example, Fig. 10 shows the straight line obtained by the least-squares method for E = 0.19 with a frequency forcing  $\omega = 0.9$  and amplitude A = 0.05.

Another consequence of a forcing with a frequency close to resonance is the decrease in the area occupied by the basin boundaries. Given an energy E = 0.19 and a





FIG. 10. Exponential decay law for the particles remaining in the scattering region at time *t*. *R* denotes the fraction of particles that survive in a time *t*. The energy is E = 0.19 and the forcing amplitude and frequency are A = 0.05 and  $\omega = 0.9$ . The decay rate is estimated to be  $\gamma = 5.45 \times 10^{-2}$ .

forcing frequency  $\omega = 0.9$ , the fraction of the area of the basins occupied by the boundaries decreases with increasing amplitude, as shown in Fig. 11, where we plot the boundaries of the basins for different values of the amplitude. The fraction occupied by the boundaries is 0.82 (A = 0), 0.71 (A = 0.01), 0.45 (A = 0.05), and 0.23 (A = 0.1).

On the other hand, many dynamical systems, with three or more coexisting attractors (for dissipative systems) or escapes (for open Hamiltonian systems), exhibit a strong topological property known as the Wada property [38]. If a boundary point is at the same time a boundary point of three or more basins, then we call it a Wada point. Moreover, if all the points in the boundary are Wada points, then the basin has the Wada property. In this section, we have shown that the incorporation of a forcing term can generate drastic changes in the basin topology. However, do these changes destroy the Wada property or, on the contrary, is it sufficiently robust to remain? The usual methods to determine when a basin possesses the Wada property require a detailed knowledge of



FIG. 9. Fraction of the area of the exit basins occupied by the KAM islands in function of the forcing frequency (a) and in function of the forcing amplitude (b). In panel (a) the value of the amplitude is A = 0.025 and we can observe that  $f_{\text{KAM}} = 0$  when  $\omega \approx 1$ . In panel (b) the value of the frequency is  $\omega = 0.9$  and we can verify the existence of a value  $A_c \approx 0.015$  for which  $f_{\text{KAM}} = 0$ . In both figures the energy is E = 0.19.



FIG. 11. Boundaries of the exit basins in the physical space (x, y) when the energy is E = 0.19 and the forcing frequency  $\omega = 0.9$ . The value of the amplitude is indicated in each figure. The fraction occupied by the boundaries is 0.82 (A = 0), 0.71 (A = 0.01), 0.45 (A = 0.05), and 0.23 (A = 0.1).

the system [39] or the precise computation of many trajectories [40]. We want to determine if the basins of the forced Hénon-Heiles system are Wada. To do this, it is necessary to carry out the verification for a large set of values of the forcing parameters. Therefore, the previous methods would require years of parallel computing. Fortunately, a new technique to determine when a basin is Wada has been recently developed [41]. This method, known as *the merging method*, allows us to test the Wada property with a much smaller computational effort. The method is based on an intuitive observation: A basin is Wada if the boundary remains unaltered after merging the basins. To illustrate this point, in the upper panel of Fig. 12 we show the result of merging the basins by pairs, keeping the third unchanged, in the case of the conservative Hénon-Heiles with E = 0.25. In the lower panel, we can observe at naked eye that although the merged basins are different, the boundaries are the same for the three cases.

Although our intuition makes us feel that the boundaries of Fig. 12 are identical, the computer states that they are not exactly the same. This is because we would require an arbitrarily high resolution to ensure the perfect equality. In practice, the method requires us to fatten the pixels using the *fattening parameter* r, which is the radius of the fat pixel according to the chessboard distance.

We have computed 10 000 basins (E = 0.19) of resolution  $500 \times 500$  for different values of the forcing amplitude  $A \in [0, 0.05]$  and the forcing frequency  $\omega \in [0, 5]$ . For each basin we have tested the Wada property for different values of the fattening parameter. We represent in Fig. 13 the percentage of non-Wada basins in function of r. For r = 1 the method does not detect any Wada basin while for r = 3 only 7 of the 10 000 basins are non-Wada. For r > 3 all the basins satisfy the Wada property.

If a basin is non-Wada, then we can expect a large number of points on the boundary,  $n_b$ , that are non-Wada points,  $n_{\rm NW}$ . It might happen that the basin is Wada, and the method would show a low number of non-Wada points due to the finite resolution of the basin. By simply increasing the fattening parameter r, the number of non-Wada points goes to zero. To verify this, we calculate the percentage of points of the boundary that are non-Wada points,  $n_{\rm NW}/n_b$ . In Fig. 14 we show two color-code maps for r = 1 and r = 2 in the parameter plane (A, w). Hot colors indicate a high percentage of non-Wada points. For r = 1 the basin that presents more points does not reach 5% of non-Wada points, while for r = 2 does not reach 0.09%. These results suggest false negatives due to finite resolution. To verify this, we have computed basins of resolution  $2000 \times 2000$  for the seven problematic basins that are non-Wada for r = 3. In all cases the method confirms, for r = 3, that all of them are Wada basins. It is important



FIG. 12. The upper panels represent the result of the action of merging the basins by pairs according to the color code "green + blue = cyan (light gray in the first figure)," "green + red = yellow (light gray in the second figure)," and "blue + red = magenta (dark gray in the third figure)." The lower panels show the corresponding boundaries between the merged basins and the remaining basin. The energy of the system is E = 0.25 and we can see at naked eye that the boundaries are almost identical, then our intuition says that the basins possess the Wada property.



FIG. 13. Percentage of the 10 000 basins obtained for different values of the forcing parameters ( $A \in [0, 0.05]$  and  $\omega \in [0, 5]$ ) that are non-Wada, in function of the fattening parameter r. The number of non-Wada basins decreases as the fattening parameter r increases, until reaching 0% for r > 3, which implies that according to the merging method that all the basins are Wada.

to observe that the fattening parameter r = 3 implies a better precision if we use resolution 2000 instead of 500, since the fattened pixel covers a region 4 times smaller in phase space.

Based on the previous results, we consider that the exit basins of the forced Hénon-Heiles system are Wada, at least for the not negligible amplitude values that we have considered.

#### V. BASIN ENTROPY

Sometimes in nonlinear dynamics, unpredictability is defined as the difficulty to predict the evolution of the orbits [42–44]. In the context of open Hamiltonian systems, we consider unpredictability as the difficulty in determining the final state of a system from certain initial conditions. In this sense, the topology of the exit basins in open Hamiltonian systems, or the topology of the basins of attraction in dissipative systems, is closely related to the unpredictability of the system. One probably say that in Fig. 7 the basin for E = 0.17 is more unpredictable than the basin for E = 0.25.

The basin entropy [45] is a tool that allows us to quantify the unpredictability that we detect intuitively in the basins. It also allows to study and quantify the unpredictability for a large set of basins.

The method to compute the basin entropy is as follows. We randomly select N overlapping square boxes of linear size  $\varepsilon$ , each one filled with  $n_t$  trajectories. The entropy of every box *i* is given by:

$$S_{i} = \sum_{j=1}^{m_{i}} p_{i,j} \ln\left(\frac{1}{p_{i,j}}\right),$$
 (10)

where  $m_i$  is the number of different destinations (*colors*) in the box *i* and  $n_{i,j}$  is the number of points with color *j* in the box. In the Hénon-Heiles system  $m_i \in [1, 3]$  in the hyperbolic regime and  $m_i \in [1, 4]$  in the nonhyperbolic regimen, due to the existence of quasiperiodic orbits.  $p_{i,j}$  is the probability of each color *j* in the box *i*. In practice we do not know this probability and we approximate it from the quotient between the number of trajectories with color *j* in the box *i* and the total number of trajectories in the box. Therefore we can express the equation (10) as:

$$S_i = \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} \frac{n_{i,j}}{n_t} \ln\left(\frac{n_t}{n_{i,j}}\right). \tag{11}$$

Consequently, the entropy associated to all the N boxes is

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} \frac{n_{i,j}}{n_t} \ln\left(\frac{n_t}{n_{i,j}}\right).$$
 (12)

Finally, we obtain the basin entropy by scaling the total entropy *S* to the total number of boxes,  $S_b = S/N$ . After this scaling the basin entropy is normalized between 0 and  $\ln N_d$ , where  $N_d$  is the number of different destinations. The value 0 is associated with a basin that has a unique destination and the value  $\ln N_d$  is associated with a basin with equiprobable and randomly distributed destinations.

In all the simulations of this section we work in the region  $\Omega \in [-1, 1] \times [-0.8, 1.2]$  of the physical space (x, y), using



FIG. 14. Color-code maps of the percentage of non-Wada points in the boundaries of the exit basins in the periodically forced Hénon-Heiles system, with E = 0.19. We have used  $100 \times 100$  equally spaced values of the parameters  $(A, \omega)$  to compute these figures. The hot colors indicate larger values of percentage of non-Wada points. The value of the fattening paremeter is r = 1 (left) and r = 2 (right).



FIG. 15. Color-code map of the basin entropy for several values of the forcing frequency and amplitude, with E = 0.19. We have used  $100 \times 100$  values of the parameters. The hot colors indicate larger values of the basin entropy.

exit basins of resolution  $500 \times 500$ . The value of the basin entropy depends on the linear box size  $\varepsilon$ . Therefore we have to select an adequate value of  $\varepsilon$  that allows a reliable portrait of the unpredictability of the basins. We have chosen  $\varepsilon = 0.02$ , that is, 25 trajectories in each box. We consider that this value accounts for the internal structure of the basins and allows a statistically significant approximation of the probabilities of each color in the box.

We have mentioned that some of the effects of a forcing close to the resonant frequency are the destruction of the KAM islands and the decrease in the area occupied by the boundaries of the exit basins. The basin entropy gives an account, among other things, for these two important changes in the topology and allows a reliable portrait of the evolution of unpredictability according to the forcing frequency and amplitude. For E = 0.19 we have computed  $100 \times 100$  basins for different combinations of amplitude  $A \in [0, 0.05]$  and frequency  $\omega \in [0, 5]$ . For each of these 10 000 basins we have obtained the basin entropy, shown in the color-code map of Fig. 15.

From the color-code map we conclude that there is a resonant-like behavior for the evolution of the unpredictability of the exit basins. The main resonant frequency is  $\omega \approx 1$  and it coincides with that obtained in the case of the fractal dimension of the scattering function in Sec. III.

The color-code map also shows that the basin entropy exceeds the value of the unperturbed case for a frequency value  $\omega \approx 2.5$ . To observe this point with greater clarity, in Fig. 16 we represent the basin entropy as a function of the forcing frequency for E = 0.19 and A = 0.05. In this simulation, 100 exit basins with a resolution  $1000 \times 1000$  have been computed. In Fig. 16 we can distinguish three different regions: (a)  $\omega \in (0, 2.0)$ , resonant-like behavior; (b)  $\omega \in (2.0, 3.2)$ , maximum value of basin entropy; and (c)  $\omega \in (3.2, 10.0)$ , the forcing becomes unproductive. In region (a) the basin entropy decreases until reaching its minimum value of  $\omega \approx 1.0$  and then increases until reaching the value of



FIG. 16. Evolution of the basin entropy with the frequency of the periodic forcing for E = 0.19 and A = 0.05. The dashed line represents the value of the basin entropy of the conservative case  $(S_b \approx 0.8)$ . Three different regions are represented: (a)  $\omega \in (0, 2.0)$ , (b)  $\omega \in (2.0, 3.2)$ , and (c)  $\omega \in (3.2, 10.0)$ . It can be seen the resonant-like behavior at  $\omega \approx 1$  and a maximum in the basin entropy for  $\omega \approx 2.5$ .

the unperturbed case. In region (b) the maximum value of the basin entropy is obtained for  $\omega \approx 2.5$ . For this frequency value the fractal dimension of the scattering function was not noticeably affected, but the same does not happen with the basin entropy. This is because this maximum is associated with an increase in the area occupied by the KAM islands. Since in our simulations we have considered that the particles that never escape constitute a destination of the dynamical system, the basin entropy is influenced by both the topology and the area of the KAM islands. The scattering function represents the relation between the escape times of particles and one of the characteristic parameters of the system. Therefore trajectories that never escape are excluded from the scattering function and their fractality is not influenced by the changes in the KAM islands. Finally, in region (c) the forcing begins to be irrelevant and the basin entropy converges monotonously to the unperturbed case, as shown in the horizontal dashed line. In this last situation, the frequency has no visible effects on the unpredictability of the system when it is large enough, as shown in Ref. [31]. This is due to the fact that for large frequencies,  $\omega \approx 10$ , the forcing term,  $A \sin \omega t$ , can be considered as a constant as occurs in any oscillating system. On the other hand, for low-amplitude values, that constant term is very small and then the evolution of the system is governed by the inertial behavior, which corresponds with the conservative case. That is the reason why, for high-frequency values, we have recovered the basin entropy of the conservative case, namely  $S_b = 0.8$ .

## **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

In summary, our investigations in forced chaotic scattering reveal a resonant-like behavior in the fractal dimension of the scattering function and also in the uncertainty of the exit basins, which has been measured using the the uncertainty algorithm and the basin entropy, respectively. In line with previous works, the main resonant frequency obtained is  $\omega \approx 1.0$ ,

for which both magnitudes are drastically reduced. As the forcing frequency increases, moving away from resonance, the forcing becomes irrelevant and the fractal dimension and the basin entropy return to their value associated with the conservative case. The resonant-like behavior appears for any nonzero amplitude and for any energy value within the nonhyperbolic regime. The decrease in the basin entropy near the main resonant frequency is due, among other things, to the reduction of the area occupied by the KAM islands and the basin boundaries. We have provided theoretical reasoning that could justify the resonant-like behavior in the fractal dimension. These arguments, based on Cantor-like structures, relate the changes in the decay rate of the exponential decay law with the fractal dimension.

We have explored the changes in the basin topology and in the escape dynamics in the resonance. We have found the amplitude value,  $A_c$ , that allows the complete destruction of the KAM islands, which is approximately constant within the nonhyperbolic regime. The same happens with the decay rate of the exponential decay law. In spite of all these changes generated by the forcing, the exit basins continue possessing the Wada property, which has been tested using the merging method.

As we mentioned in the Introduction, one field of physical interest where our work can potentially be useful is in the context of celestial mechanics where periodic forcing could be used for modeling the effect of companion galaxies [23], such as the Magellanic Clouds orbiting the Milky Way. In this context, the efficiency of phase mixing in presence of

periodic forcing increases dramatically as compared with the conservative situation. This drastic increase is reached by both accelerating the approach towards a near-equilibrium and facilitating diffusion along the Arnold web so as to accelerate the approach towards a true equilibrium. This relevant role due to the periodic forcing typically scales logarithmically with the amplitude which may imply that it acts via a resonant coupling. Besides, in the context of galactic astronomy, the forcing term has other important implications since colored noise, from a mathematical point of view, is a superposition of periodic perturbations combined with random phases, which is very useful to model a high-density-cluster environment. Here the forcing acting on any given galaxy is the result of complex interactions with a variety of different close galaxies. Colored noise might be also used to model molecular clouds in which, as we explained before, can be modeled as a set of periodic perturbations as the ones we have dealt in our work

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness under Project No. FIS2013-40653-P and by the Spanish State Research Agency (AEI) and the European Regional Development Fund (FEDER) under Project No. FIS2016-76883-P. M.A.F.S. acknowledges the jointly sponsored financial support by the Fulbright Program and the Spanish Ministry of Education (Program No. FMECD-ST-2016).

- [1] J. M. Seoane and M. A. F. Sanjuán, Rep. Prog. Phys 76, 016001 (2012).
- [2] Y.-C. Lai and T. Tél, Transient Chaos: Complex Dynamics on Finite-Time Scales (Springer, New York, 2010).
- [3] T. Tél and M. Gruiz, *Chaotic Dynamics: An Introduction Based* on *Classical Mechanics* (Cambridge University Press, New York, 2006).
- [4] P. Gaspard, *Chaos, Scattering and Statistical Mechanics* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1998).
- [5] H.-J. Stöckmann, *Quantum Chaos: An Introduction* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1999).
- [6] H. D. Gräf, H. L. Harney, H. Lengeler, C. H. Lewenkopf, C. Rangacharyulu, A. Richter, P. Schardt, and H. A. Weidenmüller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 2867 (1992).
- [7] G. Contopoulos and D. Kaufmann, Astron. Astrophys. 253, 379 (1992).
- [8] G. Contopoulos, H. E. Kandrup, and D. Kaufmann, Physica D 64, 310 (1993).
- [9] H. E. Kandrup, C. Siopis, G. Contopoulos, and R. Dvorak, Chaos 9, 381 (1999).
- [10] J. Aguirre, J. C. Vallejo, and M. A. F. Sanjuán, Phys. Rev. E 64, 066208 (2001).
- [11] R. Barrio, F. Blesa, and S. Serrano, Europhys. Lett. 82, 10003 (2008).
- [12] R. Barrio, F. Blesa, and S. Serrano, New J. Phys. 11, 053004 (2009).

- [13] J. C. Vallejo, J. Aguirre, and M. A. F. Sanjuán, Phys. Lett. A 311, 26 (2003).
- [14] J. D. Bernal, J. M. Seoane, and M. A. F. Sanjuán, Phys. Rev. E 88, 032914 (2013).
- [15] F. Blesa, J. M. Seoane, R. Barrio, and M. A. F. Sanjuán, Int. J. Bifurcat. Chaos 22, 1230010 (2012).
- [16] J. M. Seoane, J. Aguirre, M. A. F. Sanjuán, and Y.-C. Lai, Chaos 16, 023101 (2006).
- [17] J. M. Seoane, M. A. F. Sanjuán, and Y.-C. Lai, Phys. Rev. E 76, 016208 (2007).
- [18] J. M. Seoane and M. A. F. Sanjuán, Phys. Lett. A 372, 110 (2008).
- [19] J. M. Seoane, L. Huang, M. A. F. Sanjuán, and Y.-C. Lai, Phys. Rev. E 79, 047202 (2009).
- [20] J. M. Seoane and M. A. F. Sanjuán, Int. J. Bifurcat. Chaos 20, 2783 (2010).
- [21] J. D. Bernal, J. M. Seoane, and M. A. F. Sanjuán, Phys. Rev. E 95, 032205 (2017).
- [22] J. D. Bernal, J. M. Seoane, and M. A. F. Sanjuán, Phys. Rev. E 97, 042214 (2018).
- [23] H. E. Kandrup and S. J. Novotny, Cel. Mech. Dyn. Astr. 88, 1 (2004).
- [24] R. Ramaswamy, P. Siders, and R. A. Marcus, J. Chem. Phys. 74, 4418 (1981).
- [25] T. Dittrich, M. Gutiérrez, and G. Sinuco, Physica A 327, 145 (2003).

- [26] D. Hennig, L. Schimansky-Geier, and P. Hänggi, Eur. Phys. J. B 62, 493 (2008).
- [27] H. E. Nusse and J. A. Yorke, Science 271, 1376 (1996).
- [28] E. Ott, Rev. Mod. Phys. 53, 655 (1981).
- [29] G. Contopoulos, Order and Chaos in Dynamical Astronomy (Springer, Berlin, 2002).
- [30] M. Hénon and C. Heiles, Astron. J. 69, 73 (1964).
- [31] F. Blesa, J. M. Seoane, R. Barrio, and M. A. F. Sanjuán, Phys. Rev. E 89, 042909 (2014).
- [32] G. Contopoulos, Astron. Astrophys. 231, 41 (1990).
- [33] E. Ott, *Chaos in Dynamical Systems* (Cambridge University Press, New York, 1993).
- [34] J. Aguirre, R. L. Viana, and M. A. F. Sanjuán, Rev. Mod. Phys. 81, 333 (2009).
- [35] Y.-T. Lau, J. M. Finn, and E. Ott, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 978 (1991).

- [36] C. Grebogi, S. W. McDonald, E. Ott, and J. A. Yorke, Phys. Lett. A 99, 415 (1983).
- [37] S. W. McDonald, C. Grebogi, E. Ott, and J. A. Yorke, Physica D 17, 125 (1985).
- [38] J. Kennedy and J. A. Yorke, Physica D 51, 213 (1991).
- [39] H. E. Nusse and J. A. Yorke, Physica D 90, 242 (1996).
- [40] A. Daza, A. Wagemakers, and M. A. F. Sanjuán, Sci. Rep. 6, 31416 (2016).
- [41] A. Daza, A. Wagemakers, and M. A. F. Sanjuán, Sci. Rep. 8, 9954 (2018).
- [42] R. L. Adler, A. G. Konheim, and M. H. McAndrew, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 114, 309 (1965).
- [43] B. R. Hunt and E. Ott, Chaos 25, 097618 (2015).
- [44] Y. G. Sinai, Dokl. Akad. Sci. USSR 124, 768 (1959).
- [45] A. Daza, A. Wagemakers, B. Georgeot, D. Guéry-Odelin, and M. A. F. Sanjuán, Sci. Rep. 5, 16579 (2016).